**Chatbot Meeting Minutes**

**Date: September 16, 2019**

**Location: Cameron 1-22**

**Attendees: Henry Lo, Yeva, Apu Mdtouhid, Ritvik, Jacy Mark**

**Absentees:**

Keep in mind modularity for a verification system (medfact or something like that)

Questions

* What information is needed from the user? (authentification), captcha?
  + Name, email, password, role (parent, health practitioner, etc)
    - Consider role as optional but preferred
* What should the website form look like? (what information?)
  + Standard form, just enter url,
    - how useful the resource was (rating with an optional comment)
    - tags
* How does the tag system work? (both on the user side and the public side)
  + Create new tags
  + Put more weighting on reliable roles tags
  + Tags being reviewed can not be recommended to users, just stored in our database
  + Try and reject as many tags as possible (duplicate tags)
  + Might want to check similarity (levenshtein distance?)
  + Evidence in their system is like tags in ours
* Should viewing resources be public or user only?
* Reviewer vs User’s roles? Who are the reviewers?
  + If a user gets high enough level, they can become a reviewer (gamify)
    - Keep social sciences student in mind as reviewers while we are setting up
  + We can just add a reviewer option for reviewers
* How to implement reputation system?
  + User levels, gamification, so you don’t need to be reviewed or etc
  + Keep users coming back to providing to the product
* Similar projects for references
  + Asked Francois for similar projects and preferred UI’s

We are keeping our system separate at the moment from the full product but keep integration in mind.

MVP: system to collect resources, add tags, save to DB

When we give a resource to the database, keep a timestamp, author and metadata copies

The databases are currently staying separate but integration is in mind so keep them similar.

They have 3 models we need 2 of them:

Fact (tags)

Takes: name char (name of tag (ex: adhd))

values char (primary key)

Resource:

url

readtime

summary

Reputation: We get to decide how we want to do this, our decision

NLP to analyze tags and see if they match the doc if possible (hopefully)

Admin can see users and their reputations, resources that were submitted

Reviewers should not be able to see private info like who submitted what but can see the submitters rating. (The user may show up as ‘Anonymous’)

No deletions, edits, but if submitting a stored resource, flag them during submission and stop the add

On reviewer rejection (bad resource), not deleted but stage for another reviewer. (2 rejections mean a deletion of the resource and perhaps a demotion/loss of points)

Allow resubmits but restricted/logged but don’t notify the user that its been rejected

Webpack for React, inform them if we are going to use a new tool

A weekly update is preferred